

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: . COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO.

08/916,629

STEPHEN A GRATTON

2764 SOUTH BRAUN WAY LAKEWOOD CO 80228

EXAMINER

08/22/97

COBBLEY

C

97-0098

IM22/1122

GALLAGHER, J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1733

DATE MAILED: 11/22/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary	
Office Action Summary	xaminer Group Art Unit
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	KPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, exp Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	re SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 QUGU	h-1999
☐ This action is FINAL.	•
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	
Disp siti n of Claims	
M Claim(s) 1-22 and 40-4	is/are pending in the application
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	s/are allowed.
☑ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
□ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————	
Applicati n Papers	requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re	view, PTO-948.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	b by the Examiner.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	>
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the	riority documents have been
☐ received.	Ë
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_	
\square received in this national stage application from the Interna	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	<u> </u>
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	
✓ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other
2 3. Diamopologica i alone blaming horion, i 10-340	·

*U.S. GPO: 1997-433-221/62717

Part of Paper No.__

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97) Applicant(s)

Application No.



Serial Number: 08/916,629

Art Unit: 1733

- 1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-9, 11-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiLeo et al in view of either Mikuni et al or Nishino et al.

DiLeo et al disclose that it is known to bond/adhessive and semiconductor chip/element/device to a leadframe utilizing a room temperature curable (epoxy) adhesive.

(Figure 2, abstract, col 1, lines 7-11 and N.B. lines 28-29, col 2, lines 1-14 and 38-56, col 3, lines 3-37 and N.B. lines 34-37.)

Mikuni et al (N.B. col 1, lines 9-16 and 29-30) and Nishino (abstract, N.B. col 1, lines 8-14, col 2, line 60 thru col 3, line 29) both disclose that cyanoacrylate monomers (a) are widely/generally used as instantaneous adhesive for a variety of substrates (e.g. metals, glasses etc.) And also in the bonding of electrical and electronic parts; (b) cure in a short period of time at





Serial Number: 08/916,629

Art Unit: 1733

room temperature (i.e. without heat); and are initiated to polymerize by water or basic substances either present of (i.e. as/from humidity) or applied to the substrate surfaces to be bonded. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art to employ the cyanoacrylate adhesives of either of the two secondary references in the bonding process of DiLeo et al in place of the corresponding, analogous adhesive employed therein; mere substitution of one known room temperature curing (and also apparently/beneficially faster acting/setting) adhesive for another involved.

4. Claims 10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiLeo et al in view of either Nishino et al or Litke.

Nishino et al (see paragraph 3, above) and Litke (abstract, col 1 lines 11-34, col 3, lines 18-22 and 50-54) both disclose that it is known to incorporate a silica filler in a cyanoacrylate adhesive for various (and beneficial) effects (e.g. thixotropy), such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this but to employ such filled adhesives in the bonding process of DiLeo et al in place of the corresponding, analogous adhesive employed therein; again; mere substitution of one known room temperature curing adhesive for another involved.

5. The foregoing art rejections of paragraphs 3-4 are repeated with the addition of O'Sullivan et al and a secondary reference to the statement of each.



Serial Number: 08/916,629

Art Unit: 1733

O'Sullivan et al disclose that cyanoacrylate adhesives (i.e. of the type shown in Mikuni et al, Nishino et al and Litke) an known to cure in less than a/one minute and in very cases in a matter of seconds (N.B. col 1, lines 25-44). This reference is applied specifically and primarily for the sake of exposition and completeness, its teaching along the foregoing line being held/seen to be implicitly encompassed within that of the other three references referred to above.

6. Claims 21 and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiLeo et al in view of Burnett et al.

Burnett et al disclose that anaerobic curing acrylate/acrylic monomers are lesson to (a) polymerize rapidly at room temperature (i.e. without heat); and (b) find utility as adhesive in the bonding of various (e.g. electrical) substrates (N.B. col 1, lines 38-42, col 2, lines 47-58, col 5 lines 4-26, col 18, lines 34-38, col 19, lines 15-24 and 54-75, col 20, lines 1-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the anaerobic adhesives of Burnett et al in the bonding process of DiLeo et al in place of the corresponding, analogous adhesive employed therein; mere substitution of one known room temperature curable adhesive for another involved.

7. Claims 22 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DiLeo et al in view of Burnett et al and Gruber et al.

Gruber et al disclose that it is known to incorporate an (e.g. silica) filler in an acrylate based anaerobic adhesive (col 1, lines 7-15, N.B. col 4, lines 15-22), such that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate such a conventional, documented ingredient/component in the adhesive of Burnett et al for use in the process of DiLeo et al.

Serial Number: 08/916,629 Page 5

Art Unit: 1733

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-22 and 40-44, filed 13 August 1999, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. J. Gallagher whose telephone number is (703) 308-1971. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from approximately 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate N/A.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball, can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The appropriate fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661/0662.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 131

Gallagher/RH

//-/6-99

November 9, 1999